

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/654,553	09/03/2003	Torahiko Hayashi	P-123374.06	5102	
7590 08/18/2006			EXAMINER		
Thomas E. Sisson			BECKER, DREW E		
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. Suite 2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
112 E. Pecan Street			1761		
San Antonio, TX 78205			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/654,553	HAYASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Drew E. Becker	1761		

	Drew E. Becker	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. cension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing described.	136(a) and the appropria t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a)  hey raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b)  hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NCw);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present of</li></ul>			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	or openang namber of imany re	gootod olamio.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		
		DREW BECKER	
•		PRIMARY EXAMIN	EH
		0 10 00	<u> </u>